Application No. Applicant(s) 09/655,759 GREEN ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 3629 Jonathan Ouellette All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Jonathan Ouellette. (2) Jeffret C. Maynard. Date of Interview: 04 February 2004. Type: a) ✓ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 1-66. Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Agreement with respect to the claims f) \boxtimes was reached. g) \square was not reached. h) \square N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Examiner Quellette requested that Mr. Maynard (Applicant's Attorney) make a restriction election between two patentably distinct groups of claims: Group 1 (Claims 1-53) and Group 2 (Claims 54-66). Mr. Maynard elected Group 1 (Claims 1-53) for further consideration without traverse. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required